The War Does Not Begin at Phase III

Civil Affairs as an Indicator of Strategic Transition

Lieutenant Colonel Merritt Mitchell, USMC

Preparation for greater use of overt conventional force places demands on civil systems, and those demands can produce observable signatures before the military picture is clear. Civil affairs already observes, develops, and integrates civil information across the competition continuum, but the Joint Force lacks a common framework for recognizing when routine civil changes may collectively indicate increasing preparation for overt conventional conflict.

The paper proposes a Civil Transition Indicator Framework (CTIF)**,** a warning-oriented analytic lens that organizes civil information CA already develops through civil reconnaissance, civil engagement and civil knowledge integration. It does not predict war, replace intelligence, or make CA an intelligence collection asset. It gives an existing mission a common warning vocabulary. Its five civil indicators include:

- Access: changes in ports, airfields, basing, overflight, transit corridors or host-nation permissions.
- Population: displacement, evacuation, unusual migration, mobilization or movement of elites and capital.
- Infrastructure**:** civilian or dual-use systems hardened, restricted, repurposed or positioned for military use.
- Information: censorship, communications restrictions, coordinated narratives or preparation of a population to accept conflict.
- Governance: emergency authorities, centralization of decision-making, replacement of officials or new movement restrictions.

Users should track the shift, clock the speed and connect the signals, considering: direction (whether the environment is moving toward escalation or away from it); velocity (how quickly are conditions changing); and, convergence (whether several indicators are changing at the same time).

No single indicator proves that war is imminent. Convergence is the analytic core. A port restriction, population movement, repurposed rail network, information crackdown and emergency decree may arrive as separate civil facts. When several move in the same direction and at increasing velocity, they may constitute a warning pattern rather than unrelated events.

CTIF should be tested in a command-post exercise by comparing traditional warning assessments with assessments that also incorporate structured civil indicators. Measures should include warning timeliness and confidence, false positives, missed indicators, usability under time pressure and whether the added civil information changes commander understanding. The recommended path includes**:** testing it → integrating what works → teaching it → institutionalizing it. The framework requires no new headquarters, database or major materiel investment. It is designed to operate through existing CA reporting, G-9/J-9 processes and the joint force's indications and warning architecture.

The first indication of tomorrow's conventional war may not be a tank leaving its motor pool. It may be a change in the civil environment that no one thought to treat as a warning.